

1300 Eye Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: 202.659.0100
Facsimile: 202.659.0105
www.novakdruce.com

**Novak Druce DeLuca
& Quigg, LLP**

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 16 2005

Fax

To:	USPTO - Mail Stop Amendment	From:	Jason Volght
Fax:	(571) 273-8300	Pages:	6
Phone:	(571) 272-1000	Date:	November 16, 2005
Re:	Attorney Docket: 52067	cc:	

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• Comments:

In re Application of: **ANDERLIK, et al.**
Serial No.: **09/762,396**
Filing Date: **March 16, 2001**

Attachments:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile and any attachments contain information from the law firm of Novak Druce DeLuca & Quigg, LLP, which may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this facsimile. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile is prohibited. If you receive this facsimile in error, please notify us by reply facsimile immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 16 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION

OF: ANDERLIK ET AL.

CONFIRMATION No.: 3913

SERIAL No. 09/762,396

GROUP ART UNIT: 1615

FILED: MARCH 16, 2001

EXAMINER: DANG, THUAN

FOR: POLYMERS AND PLASTIC WITH LONG-LASTING ODOR AND THE USE
THEREOF

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted over Fed. No. (571) 273-8300 on November 16, 2005.

(Hortense Coleman)
(Hortense Coleman)

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Sir,

In reply to the office action of August 17, 2005, it is respectfully requested the following remarks be entered and considered for further prosecution of the above mentioned application:

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 and 17-22 are now pending in the current application. The Examiner rejected claims 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, and 19-20 under 35 USC §102(b) as anticipated by, or in the alternative under 35 USC §103(a) as obvious over Kubanek et al. (GB 2,194,791). The Examiner also rejected claims 1-14 and 17-22 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Caupin et al. (US 5,504,142) and Kubanek et al., in view of Guntherberg et al. (US 5,852,113). In particular, the Examiner argues that